DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 295 372 EC 202 810

AUTHOR Cecconi, Christine P.

TITLE Intervention Strategies for Pragmatically Impaired

Preschoolers: The Classroom Context.

PUB DATE [87] NOTE 7p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Child Language; *Classroom Environment;

*Communication Disorders; Discourse Analysis; Educational Therapy; Interaction; *Intervention; *Language Usage; *Learning Disabilities; Play;

*Pragmatics; Preschool Education; Speech

Communication; Teaching Methods

ABSTRACT

A classroom-oriented therapeutic program was devised for four pragmatically impaired preschoolers who showed little spontaneous language use within the classroom. Intervention strategies focused on facilitating irteractions during free play and were based on four principles for practitioners: be child-oriented; engineer the environment; use low-pressure elicitation; and utilize the classroom staff and environment. In addition, the educational curriculum was changed to reflect a comprehensive view of communication and pragmatics, resulting in individualized education program goals which incorporated a variety of contexts. Six months following the intervention, a pragmatic analysis performed during classroom free play showed that (1) each child communicated more frequently with a broader range of speech acts, and (2) topics were initiated and extended. (JDD)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

Chis document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization organization of the person of the pe

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Intervention Strategies for Pragmatically Impaired Preschoolers: The Classroom Context

Christine P. Cecconi
The Special Children's Center, Inc., 21 Wilkins Road,
Ithaca, New York 14850. (607) 272-5891

Within recent years, the validity of working with language impaired children within a natural, conversational and socially interactive context has become apparent. The view from the clinical trenches, however, suggests that practicing clinicians are struggling with the issues of when to leave the therapy room and how best to assume the role of facilitator. This poster session describes procedures which have been successfully utilized for promoting language use within a preschool classroom for handicapped children. Intervention strategies reflect findings from studies of parent-child interaction and current sociolinguistic theory.

Four pragmatically impaired children were chosen to document the effectiveness of these intervention strategies. Out of eight preschoolers enrolled in speech-language therapy, these four children continued to show little spontaneous language use within the classroom despite a minimum of two months of classroom programming and therapy. While more competent communication was evidenced during individual therapy, a pragmatic analysis conducted during classroom free play revealed a preference for nonverbal means of communication, verbal exchanges which were mediated by and directed toward adults and topics which were initiated but not Three out of four children were observers of other children. They exhibited parallel play but rarely initiated interactions or spontaneously engaged in cooperative activities. There appeared to be a lack of the means for initiating either nonverbal or verbal exchanges with peers. The fourth child did not recognize peers or most adults as potential partners in play or communication.

A classroom oriented therapeutic program was devised and implemented jointly by the Speech-Language Pathologist classroom teachers, with emphasis placed on facilitating interactions during free play. Intervention strategies can be described under four basic principles: Be Child Oriented, Engineer the Environment, Use Low Pressure Elicitation, and Utilize the Classroom Staff and Environment. The significance of these procedures lies in their ease of in plementation yet ability to produce marked results with children inhibited by the classroom In addition, the educational curriculum changed to reflect a comprehensive view of communication and pragmatics, and resulted in IEP goals which incorporated a variety of contexts.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Christine

1.

Six months after the implementation of these intervention strategies, a pragmatic analysis was again performed during free play within the classroom. The following changes in communicative competence were apparent: each child communicated more frequently and with a broader range of speech acts. Topics were initiated and extended. An overall increase in peer interaction was evidenced, coinciding with a greater percentage of verbalizations directed toward other children. In reality, the classroom was a more dynamic and exciting environment for all participants.

CLASSROOM COMMUNICATION PROFILE

Language Use During Free Pl	<u>lay</u>
Length of Observation Categorize each utterance, directed toward peer (adapt	Date
SPEECH ACTS 1. Greeting 2. Call/Direct Attn. 3. Request Obj/Act 4. Request Answer 5. Label/Comment 6. Protest 7. Answering	CONVERSATIONAL ACTS INITIATE EXTEND Non Ling. Ling. Non Ling. Ling.
Proximity to adults to peers Eye contact Turn Taking nonverbally during p during conversation Level of Play (i.e., pa Degree of representation Degree of adult mediati communication Additional Contexts Analyze accuracy of res language activity	spontaneously joins peers
	ojects, revealed one at a time



INTERVENTION

PRAGMATIC TARGETS OF CURRICULUM OR IEPS

Nonverbal Interactive Behaviors
Agent - of action and object
Eye Contact
Giving, Showing, Pointing
Turn Taking during play and joint activity routines

Speech Act Production
Range of Speech Acts
Variety of forms to express each communicative intent
Use in varied contexts

Conversational Skills
Topic Initiation
Topic Extension
Response to questions
Relevance
Event recall and prediction

SAMPLE IEP GOALS

-- will establish eye contact with an adult while requesting an object or action, 50% of the time during lunch.

During a quiet, social activity with an adult (i.e., drawing stories, block play), -- will engage in non-verbal turn taking for a minimum of three turns per participant, for 5/10 activities.

Over a two day period during free play, -- will use 10 different phrases to peers, and 20 different phrases to adults for requesting actions, objects and answers.

For 3 out of 5 conversations with a teacher or his mother, -- will exhibit appropriate

a. topic initiation

b. extension of topic for four conversational turns



_{3.} 4

PRINCIPLE ONE: BE CHILD ORIENTED

Strategies

Use <u>SOUL</u> - Silence, Observation, Understanding and Listening to assess the child's interests, activity level, and emotional state before entering play

Play at the child's cognitive and social level Follow the child's lead in play and language — let the child choose the stimulus

Get down on the child's level (physically)

Mirror emotions

Imitate the child's nonverbal actions

Be <u>animated</u> and <u>interested</u> - treat ordinary or mundane events as exciting, fun and worthy of communication

Interact with the expectation of a response

PRINCIPLE TWO: USE LOW PRESSURE ELICITATION

<u>Strategies</u>

Give the child/children freedom to initiate and terminate the interchange

Use proven facilitation techniques

- communicatively useful language
- imitation
- semantic contingency
- negotiation of meaning

Control the complexity of the linguistic stimuli

Utilize visual, auditory, tactile and kinesthetic cues to enhance linguistic information

Use questions with discretion, to create a shared context Be outrageous, make mistakes or use verbal absurdities on occasion

Appreciate and integrate humor

Wait for a response

PRINCIPLE THREE: ENGINEER THE ENVIRONMENT

Strategies

Use novel, high interest and motivating materials to facilitate directing attention, requesting (i.e., wrapped boxes, voice activated toys, grab bags, robots)

Set up problems/restrictions to promote commenting, protesting, requesting (i.e., broken toys, materials with batteries missing, tightly closed containers)

Use materials which encourage peer interaction and turn taking (such as large boxes where one rides, one pushes; dress-up clothes; blocks)



Utilize peers

- as verbal models

- as the "object" of language

- to initiate interactions

- to divide materials

Set up activities which promote representational play and role playing (household corner, community helper props, etc.)

Control materials which require extensive physical manipulation and thus may inhibit language (extremely important for physically handicapped or neurologically impaired children)

Organize materials so that children do not have to work at nonlinguistic behavior

PRINCIPLE FOUR: UTILIZE THE CLASSROOM STAFF AND ENVIRONMENT

Strategies

Spend 25-33% of allocated therapy time within the classroom Plan classroom therapy to harmonize with daily/monthly themes and activities

Utilize dyads and small groups within the classroom Supplement individual therapy with a minimum of one largegroup language activity per week to demonstrate techniques Make sure all team members understand the rationale for intervention strategies and are effective with their use

RESULTS

Speech Act Production: Initial and Retest Data for 15 min.

classroom free play.

CTG22TOOM TIES DI	<u>ay.</u>							
	Child A		Child B		Child C		Child D	
Speech Acts	9/86	3/87	9/86	<u>3/87</u>	9/86	3/87	9/86	3/87
Greet	_	1	1	1	_	1	_	_
Call/Direct								
Attention	3	4	2	3	_	3	_	1
Request Object								•
Action	2	5	_	4	_	7	_	5
Request Answer	2	7	2	2	_	_	_	3
Label/Comment	2	12	3	8	_	6	_	8
Protest	-	2	_	1	1	2	_	1
Answer	1	1	_	1	_	2	_	4
Repeat	2	_	_	-	_	-	-	-
Total Utterances	12	32	8	20	1	າ1	0	22_
Range of Speech			<u> </u>					44
Acts	6	7_	4	7	1	6	0	6
% of Utterances		_						
Directed to Peers	17	60	40	70	0	38	0	0_
Frequency Child	_							
Spontaneously								
Joins Peers	1	_ 5	0	4	0	6	0	2



Clinical References

- Blank, M., Rose, S., and Berlin, L. (1978) <u>The Language of Learning: The Preschool Years.</u> New York: Grune and Stratton.
- Blank, M., and White, S. (1986) Questions: A Powerful but Mis-Used Form of Classroom Exchange. <u>Topics in Language Disorders</u>, 6 (2).
- Constable, C. (1983) Creating Communicative Context. In H. Winitz (ed), <u>Treating Language Disorders:</u> For Clinicians by Clinicians. Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Constable, C. and vanKleek, A. (1985) From Social to Instructional Uses of Language: Bridging the Gap. American Speech-Language-Hearing Assoc. Annual Convention, Washington, D.C.
- Friel-Palti, S. and Laugeay Mottinger, J. (1985) Preschool Language Intervention: Some Key Concerns. <u>Topics in Language Disorders</u>, 5 (2).
- Majlinger, K. et al. (1981) Assessing Pragmatic Functioning in Language Disordered Children. American Speech-Language-Hearing Assoc. Annual Convention, Toronto, Canada.
- Prutting, C. (1982) Pragmatics as Social Competence. <u>JSHD</u>, 47, 123-133.
- Prutting, C. and Kirchner (1987) A Clinical Appraisal of the Pragmatic Aspects of Language. <u>JSKD</u>, 52, 105-119.
- Snow, C. et al. (1984) Therapy as Social Interaction: Analyzing the Contexts for Language Remediation. <u>Topics in Language Disorders</u>, 3 (1).
- Wolf Nelson, N. (1986) Individual Processing in Classroom Settings. <u>Topics in Language Disorders</u>, 6 (2).



7